Vol 9| Issue 2| 2019 | 210-212.

e-ISSN 2248 – 9142
print-ISSN 2248 – 9134

International Journal of
Current Pharmaceutical & Clinical Research

www.ijcpcr.com

NOTCH SIGNALING IN RPE CELLS: IMPLICATIONS FOR OPHTHALMIC DISEASE TREATMENT

Dr. Chigurupathi Haasitha

Assistant Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Sri Lakshmi Narayana Institute of Medical Sciences & Hospital, Osudu, Puducherry - 605502.

ABSTRACT

In mammals, it is known as a cellular communication pathway that is involved in such factors as multiplication, apoptosis, and the determination of a cell's fate, the Notch pathway is essential. In order for the visual system to work properly, (RPE) cells are essential. Notch signaling was studied in this study. In RPE cells, we observed a differential expression of components that belong to the Notch signaling Indicators of progress. As a result of blocking the Notch Indicators of progress, RPE cells were unable to migrate and reproduce and some Notch signaling target genes, including various types, were reduced in expression levels. In RPE cells, Notch signaling appears to play a critical role, suggesting a novel approach to treating ophthalmic diseases involving RPE cells might involve targeting Notch signaling.

Key words: Epithelial cells, Retina, Blockage, Pigment.

INTRODUCTION

In the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), the retinal photoreceptors are supported by polarized monolayers of epithelium and glial cells [1, 2]. Photoreceptors are protected and cared for by RPE cells. Photoreceptors are protected from photooxidation by these cells, phagocytosis sheds photoreceptor membranes, and these cells transport glucose, retinol, and fatty acids [3]. Mammalian cells communicate with each other through Notch signaling, which controls their proliferation, survival, apoptosis, and fate determination [4]. In order to activate the Notch signaling pathway, Notch transmembrane receptors interact with ligands that are associated with the plasma membrane. Notch genes have conserved amino acid sequences, but four receptors exhibit subtle differences in certain domains. Ligands bind to receptors between neighboring cells to activate Notch signaling [5].

A number of proteolytic separations occur upon ligand attach, releasing the (NICD), which is translocated the nucleus. The Notch target genes, and ID are activated by NICD by forming a transcriptional complex in the nucleus [6]. The utterance of target genes is controlled by Notch signaling in order to affect cell proliferation, survival, and apoptosis.

Human RPE cells have been reported to express Notch signaling, but few studies have clarified its role [7, 8]. The effects of impede Notch signaling by bang down NOTCH1 were examined along with the utterence levels of the genes are targeted by Notch. According to our research, Notch signaling plays an main role in the increasing and migration of human RPE cells, which has implications for a number of eye pathologies [9].

Materials and Methods

Various plasmids, small hairpin RNAs, and other reagents, including plasmids, are available for use in your research. In the past, small hairpin RNA (shRNA) from Thermo Scientific has been used to construct lentiviral constructs targeting the human NOTCH1 gene. Western blotting was performed using the following antibodies [10].

Corresponding Author: -**Dr. Chigurupathi Haasitha**

Lentiviral transduction and cell culture. We cultured cells (ATCC) in culture medium with ten percedntage inactivated (FCS), antibiotics one hundred units per millilitre, and streptomycin fifty gram per millilitre at 37 degree Celsius in 5% carbon dioxide. We performed lentiviral transduction according to our previous description. We used the Effectene Transfection Reagent to transfect 293T cells with lentiviral vectors targeting NOTCH1. After plating at 50 - 70% confluence, 1.5 mL of virus + 0.5 mL of fresh media containing 8 g/mL Polybrene were infected four times into 60 mm dishes. After one week of puromycin treatment, RPE cells were selected.

As described previously, proliferation assays and scratch tests were conducted. 106 RPE cells were cultivated in 24-well plates for the proliferation assay. After trypsinizing the cells, trypan blue assays were performed on the consecutive four days to determine the number of cells. An 80–90% confluent population of RPE cells was seeded into a 6-well plate for scratch assay [11]. DMEM medium without fetal bovine serum was used to culture the cells after scratching with a white tip. Twelve, twenty-four, and thirty-four hours after the scratch, we observed cellular migration into the scratch area.

A 1st strand cDNA kit was applied to reverse-transcribe the overall RNA from RPE cells before real-time RT-PCR was performed using the Trizol reagent. To extract total protein for Western blotting, lysis buffer was used to extract the sequences of the 14 pairs of primers used in the Supplementary Material. A 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel was used to separate 90 micrograms of protein. As described previously, Western blotting was performed.

RESULTS

Signal Pathway Component Expression by RPE Cells was Different. Our study examined the expression levels of Notch signaling pathway constituents, including three MAML transcriptional coactivators and five Notch ligands, using real-time RT-PCR. In comparison to any of the other ligands, JAG1 was found to have the highest level of expression. A significant level of expression was observed for MAML1 and MAML2, while MAML3 displayed the lowest level of expression.

Several shRNAs are used to inhibit Notch signaling. Several NOTCH1-shRNAs were used to knock down NOTCH1 expression in RPE cells in order to identify its role in these cells. Our lentiviral vectors targeted three different targets. As a result of selecting the RPE cells with puromycin, we were able to obtain four stable RPE cell lines with varying levels of NOTCH1 knockdown. Three lentivirus strains were used for infecting this cell line. In the next step, we used dual test for western blotting and reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction to determine the efficiency of the NOTCH1 knockdown and found that the mRNA and protein levels of the four groups of shNOTCH1-transduced RPE cells were both reduced. The combination of the three shNOTCH1 viruses resulted in the most significant. The RPE cells obtained from NOTCH1-knockdown cells were therefore stable.

RPE Cell Migration and Proliferation Was Inhibited by Blocking Notch Signal Pathway. A scratch assay was used in vitro to evaluate the effect of blocking Notch signaling on RPE cell migration. After 36 hours of incubation, the scratch wound of the control cells had almost fully recovered. RPE cell lines with NOTCH1 knockdown showed significantly reduced migration, however. The lowest migration to scratch sites was observed with a mixture of three shNOTCH1 viruses. RPE cell proliferation was also examined in the presence of blocked Notch signaling. It was found that RPE cell proliferation was reduced after inhibiting the Notch signaling pathway. ShN1-(1, 2, 4) cells showed the most significant decrease in cell growth in scratch assays. The reduction in migration and proliferation of RPE cells is therefore caused by blocking Notch signaling via NOTCH1 knockdown.

Some Notch Signaling target genes were suppressed by blocking Notch Signaling. We used real-time RT-PCR to analyze the expression levels of Notch signaling target genes in the RPE cells in order to determine the mechanism behind the effects of blocking Notch signaling on migration and proliferation. After NOTCH1 was knocked down, four of the twelve target genes showed significant down regulation.

DISCUSSION

In the posterior segment of the eye, the RPE is a layer of epithelial cells that provides support for photoreceptors [12, 13]. There is an abnormal proliferation and migration of RPE cells in these diseases. Notch signaling induces distinct phenotypes at different activation levels.

Cell proliferation is suppressed and certain matrix-adhesion molecules are downregulated when Notch signaling is activated at high volume. Notch signaling activation, however, is needed for mammary epithelial cells to proliferate and retain matrix adhesion. Several photoreceptor diseases were associated with Notch signaling in this study, which explored their relationship with Notch signaling. Several shRNAs were used to inhibit NOTCH1 signaling in the RPE cells to establish a stable RPE line with impaired Notch signaling. Various knockdown techniques were effective, but the combination of viruses (shN1-1, 2, 4) was the most effective.

Migration and proliferation were slowest in the cell line shN1-(1, 2, 4) in agreement with interference efficiency [14, 15]. In another study, mice transgenic with the intracellular domain of Notch1 were found to have hyperproliferated RPE cells due to constitutive activity of Notch.

CONCLUSION

The role of Notch signaling in RPE cells in humans has not been well clarified, to the best of our knowledge. There was a difference in Notch signaling levels between RPE cell lines. Notch signaling also regulates RPE cell migration and proliferation, as we found in our study. As a result of the change in Notch signaling, RPE proliferation and migration genes that are targeted by Notch signaling were altered as well. This study provides a rational basis for further study of Notch signaling in RPE cells, which suggests that treating diseases of the RPE may be possible through targeting the target genes of Notch signaling.

REFERENCE:

1. O. Strauss, "The retinal pigment epithelium in visual function," Physiological Reviews, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 845–881, 2005.
2. C. Y. Weng, P. C. Kothary, A. J. Verkade, D. M. Reed, and M. A. Del Monte, "MAP kinase pathway is involved in IGF-1-stimulated proliferation of human retinal pigment epithelial cells (hRPE)," Current Eye Research, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 867–876, 2009.
3. R. Simo, M. Villarroel, L. Corraliza, C. Hern ´ andez, and M. ´ Garcia-Ram´ırez, "The retinal pigment epithelium: something more than a constituent of the blood-retinal barrier-implications for the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy," Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology, vol. 2010, Article ID 190724, 15 pages, 2010.
4. V. Bolos, J. Grego-Bessa, and J. L. de la Pompa, "Notch signaling ´ in development and cancer," Endocrine Reviews, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 339–363, 2007.
5. K. G. Leong and A. Karsan, "Recent insights into the role of Notch signaling in tumorigenesis," Blood, vol. 107, no. 6, pp. 2223–2233, 2006.
6. E. T. Wang, R. Sandberg, S. J. Luo et al., "Alternative isoform regulation in human tissue transcriptomes," Nature, vol. 456, no. 7221, pp. 470–476, 2008.
7. S. S. Saravanamuthu, C. Y. Gao, and P. S. Zelenka, "Notch signaling is required for lateral induction of Jagged1 during FGFinduced lens fiber differentiation," Developmental Biology, vol. 332, no. 1, pp. 166–176, 2009.
8. J. Chen, S. Kesari, C. Rooney et al., "Inhibition of Notch signaling blocks growth of glioblastoma cell lines and tumor neurospheres,"Genes and Cancer, vol. 1, no. 8, pp. 822–835, 2010.
9. Y. Gu, S. Lin, J.-L. Li et al., "Altered LKB1/CREB-regulated transcription co-activator (CRTC) signaling axis promotes esophageal cancer cell migration and invasion," Oncogene, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 469–479, 2012.
10. Z. Chen, G. Jin, S. Lin et al., "DNA methyltransferase inhibitor CDA-II inhibits myogenic differentiation," Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, vol. 422, no. 3, pp. 522– 526, 2012.
11. L. G. Franko-Tobin, L. V. Mackey, W. Huang et al., "Notch1- mediated tumor suppression in cervical cancer with the involvement of SST signaling and its application in enhanced SSTR-targeted therapeutics," Oncologist, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 220– 232, 2012.
12. P. A. Campochiaro, "Pathogenic mechanisms in proliferative vitreoretinopathy," Archives of Ophthalmology, vol. 115, no. 2, pp. 237–241, 1997.
13. P. Esser, K. Heimann, K.-U. Bartz-Schmidt et al., "Apoptosis in proliferative vitreoretinal disorders: possible involvement of TGF-β-induced RPE cell apoptosis," Experimental Eye Research, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 365–378, 1997.
14. H. Miller, B. Miller, and S. J. Ryan, "The role of retinal pigment epithelium in the involution of subretinal neovascularization," Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science, vol. 27, no. 11, pp. 1644–1652, 1986.
15. M. Mazzone, L. M. Selfors, J. Albeck et al., "Dose-dependent induction of distinct phenotypic responses to Notch pathway activation in mammary epithelial cells," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 107, no. 11, pp. 5012–5017, 2010.